UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

STACEY ARNOLD YERKES,	
Plaintiff,	:
v. OHIO STATE HIGHWAY PATROL,	Case No. 2:19-cv-2047 JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR. Magistrate Judge Elizabeth P. Deavers :
Defendant.	
VERDIC	Γ AND DAMAGES
1. Do you find that Defendant Oh	nio State Highway Patrol constructively discharged
Plaintiff Stacey Arnold Yerkes?	
Yes, or	
No.	
If you answered "Yes" to Qu	estion 1, then answer Question 2.
If you answered "No" to Que	estion 1, do not answer the remaining questions.
•	es' sex discrimination claim against Defendant Ohio
State Highway Patrol, based on her being a	woman, we find in favor of (check one)
Plaintiff Stacey Arnold Yes	rkes, or
Defendant Ohio State High	way Patrol.
If you found in favor of Plain answer Question 3.	tiff Stacey Arnold Yerkes on Question 2, then

If you found in favor of Defendant Ohio State Highway Patrol on Question 2, then answer Question 2(a).

2(a). Do you find that Plaintiff Arnold Yerkes' sex was a motivating factor in Defendan
Ohio State Highway Patrol's constructive discharge?
Yes, or
No.
If you answered "Yes" to Question 2(a), then answer Question 2(b).
If you answered "No" to Question 2(a), then answer Question 3.
2(b). Do you find that Defendant Ohio State Highway Patrol would have reached the same
decision regardless of Plaintiff Arnold Yerkes' sex?
Yes, or
No.
Regardless of your answer, continue to Question 3.
3. On Plaintiff Stacey Arnold Yerkes' sexual orientation discrimination claim agains
Defendant Ohio State Highway Patrol, based on her being gay, we find in favor of (check one)
Plaintiff Stacey Arnold Yerkes, or
Defendant Ohio State Highway Patrol.
If you found in favor of Plaintiff Stacey Arnold Yerkes on Question 3, then answer Question 4.
If you found in favor of Defendant Ohio State Highway Patrol on Question 3, then answer Question 3(a).
3(a). Do you find that Plaintiff Arnold Yerkes' sexual orientation was a motivating facto
in Defendant Ohio State Highway Patrol's constructive discharge?
Yes, or
No.

If you answered "Yes" to Question 3(a), then answer Question 3(b).

If you answered "No" to Question 3(a), then answer Question 4.

3(b). Do you find that Defendant Ohio State Highway Patrol would have reached the same decision regardless of Plaintiff Arnold Yerkes' sexual orientation?

______Yes, or
______No.

Regardless of your answer, continue to Question 4.

4. On Plaintiff Stacey Arnold Yerkes' retaliation claim against Defendant Ohio State

Highway Patrol, we find in favor of (check one)

Plaintiff Stacey Arnold Yerkes, or

Defendant Ohio State Highway Patrol.

Complete the following only if at least one of the above findings for Questions 2, 3, or 4 are in favor of Plaintiff Stacey Arnold Yerkes:

State the total amount of compensatory damages for Plaintiff Stacey Arnold Yerkes:

\$ 1,308,927

Any damages for back pay and front pay are separate from any compensatory damages award. State the total amount of damages for Plaintiff Stacey Arnold Yerkes that represents damages for back pay, if any:

\$ 624,109

State the total amount of damages for Plaintiff Stacey Arnold Yerkes that represents damages for front pay, if any:

\$ 684,815

We the jury so render our verdict upon the concurrence of those members who have signed below. All jurors must agree. Each juror concurring in this verdict sign his/her name hereto.

Foreperson's signature

Dated: \$\\\ 8\\\ 2023